

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/171,854	JOOS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 120

(1) Bradley L. Sisson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Viola T. Kung, Reg. No. 41,131. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 September 2004

**Time:** 1:45 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Kung indicated that applicant would not be filing any additional papers and that the case is to go abandoned.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)